

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,479	07/02/2001	Allan B. Lamkin	70681	8448
22242	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			LAYE, JADE O	
120 SOUTH SUITE 160	I LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-3406		2623	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/898,479	LAMKIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jade O. Laye	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 F 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of the practice under the condition of the condi	s action is non-final. ince except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ł		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

I. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 2/2/06, 11/30/05, 11/16/05, 11/9/05, and 10/7/05 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Response to Amendment

II. Applicant's amendments, dated 2/9/06, have been entered and made of record. Accordingly, the objections applied in the previous Non-Final action are hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

III. Applicant's arguments, filed 2/9/06, with respect to the *Getsin* reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the previous ground of rejection has been withdrawn and **THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

IV. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Kelly et al. (US Pat. No. 6,047,292).

As to Claim 1, Kelly discloses a system for providing both multimedia and Internet (i.e., html) content, which can be accessed via any number of platforms. (Abstract; Col. 2, Ln. 47-Col. 3, Ln. 6 & 55-65; Col. 5, Ln. 1-67). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 1.

Claims 8 and 17 correspond to and are encompassed Claim 1. Thus, each is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

As to Claim 2, it is inherent that DVD and CD mediums (disclosed in Claim 1 citations) contain various directories which are compatible with various platforms. Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 2.

As to Claims 3 and 4, Kelly further discloses the mediums comprise HTML and JAVA content. (Col. 4, Ln. 61-Col. 5, Ln. 13). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claims 3 and 4.

Claim 18 corresponds to Claim 3. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

The limitations of Claim 6 are encompassed within the limitations of Claims 3 and 4 (i.e., JAVA, HTML, etc. inherently disclose directories with platform specific codes). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 6.

As to Claim 7, Kelly further teaches the system supports both Windows and Macintosh discs. (Col. 3, Ln. 55-Col. 4, Ln. 5). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 7.

The limitations of Claims 9 and 10 are encompassed within Claim 1 (i.e., data is received via Internet). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claims 9 and 10.

The limitations of Claim 12 are encompassed within the rejection of Claim 1 (i.e., HTML content can be textual). Moreover, since Kelly's system is capable of providing links to Internet data related to the recorded media, it is inherent said data be synchronized (i.e., scroll) along with the multimedia content. Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 12.

As to Claim 13, it is also inherent from the rejection of Claim 12, that said internet data be synchronized with the multimedia data in order for the system to accurately time when said Internet data is to be displayed. Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 13.

As to Claim 14, Kelly further discloses the use of "hotlinks" to webpages. (Col. 6, Ln. 1-7). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 14.

Claim 15 corresponds to Claim 14. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

As to Claim 16, Kelly further teaches the system can be used with a plurality of platforms. (citations of Claim 1 and Col. 2, Ln. 60-61). Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,479

Art Unit: 2623

Page 5

The limitations of Claim 20 are encompassed by Claim 9. Thus, it is anticipated and rejected as discussed therein.

The limitations of Claim 21 are encompassed within the limitations of Claims 1 and 12. Moreover, in CD/DVD technology, it is inherent that selecting certain portions of textual script will navigate one to corresponding locations in the multimedia content. Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claim 21.

The limitations of Claims 22 and 23 are encompassed within those of Claim 13. Accordingly, Kelly et al anticipate each and every limitation of Claims 22 and 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

V. Claims 5, 11, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al.

As to Claim 5, the Examiner takes Official Notice that, at the time of Applicant's invention, the use of ISO-9660 standards were well known in this art. (as evidenced by *Tahara et al*, US Pat. No. 5,909,551). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the system of Kelly in order to provide a more versatile medium.

As to Claim 11, the Examiner takes Official Notice that, at the time of Applicant's invention, overlaying HTML content onto multimedia content was well known in this art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the system of Kelly in order to provide user interface which allows a user to simultaneously view HTML and multimedia data.

As to Claim 19, Kelly teaches the content (i.e., HTML) can be stored on a DVD, but fail to disclose the additional limitations of Claim 19. (citations of Claim 1). However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that, at the time of Applicant's invention, storing HTML data at the client device was well known in this art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the system of Kelly in order to provide for local storage of HTML data, thereby providing a cheaper distribution system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jade O. Laye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7303. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. 7:30am-4, Tues. 7:30-2, W-Fri. 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,479

Art Unit: 2623

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Jade O. Laye

Initials:

April 17, 2006.

CHRIS KELLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600